

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/732,928	12/11/2000	Nobuo Shimazu	740107-136	2305	
22204	7590 09/30/2003			_	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			EXAMINER		
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800			HUGHES, JAMES P		
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2881		
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W				
	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/732,928		SHIMAZU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	James P. Hugi		2881				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears n the cov	er sheet with the c	orresp ndence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, he ly within the statutory will apply and will expire, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on the	request field or	July 9, 2003 .					
<u> </u>	nis action is non	-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under				ne merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 		eration					
	WII II OIII COIISIG	siadon.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement					
Application Papers	or election requi	cincin.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on July 9,. 2003 is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by th	ie Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be I	neld in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ appro	ved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examir	ner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊡ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		l Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(c	e) (to a provisiona	al application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)	-	- -					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) [–	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/732,928

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The amended drawings were received on July 9, 2003. These drawings are accepted.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments, on pages 3-5 of the request for reconsideration, filed July 9, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-9 under 102(e) 103(a) and have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the IDS documents discussed below in sections 3 and 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bohlen et al. ("High Throughput Submicron Lithography with Electron Beam Proximity Printing"). Bohlen et al. ("High Throughput Submicron Lithography with Electron Beam Proximity Printing") is hereafter referred to as Bohlen. Bohlen teaches a method and apparatus for high throughput e-beam proximity lithography comprising; a tungsten hair pin e-beam source

pplication/Control Number: 09/732,928

Art Unit: 2881

and collimating lens that emit a collimated electron beam and a stage that holds and moves an object (wafer) to be patterned via an electron beam passing through a mask substrate on which a plurality of masks (complimentary masks) with apertures are formed. The mask substrate is located in proximity to the substrate –typically about 0.5 mm – and portions of the mask are thinner than other portions. (p. 210, "Exposure Concept" section through p.211 "Transmission Masks" section, and Fig. 3, for example)

During exposure, a mask moving mechanism moves the mask substrate to expose the substrate to the e-beam passing through two complimentary patterns (or apertures) from separate masks, which are located on the same mask substrate a distance apart from each other. That is a pattern exposed in the object is exposed by to two exposures in which a first mask and a second mask (complimentary masks) are respectively used. (p. 211 "Complementary Masks" section through p. 212, "Pattern Positioning" section and Fig. 5, for example)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohlen et al. ("High Throughput Submicron Lithography with Electron Beam Proximity Printing").

 Bohlen et al. ("High Throughput Submicron Lithography with Electron Beam Proximity

 Printing") is hereafter referred to as Bohlen. Bohlen teaches a method and apparatus for high

Application/Control Number: 09/732,928

Art Unit: 2881

throughput e-beam proximity lithography as discussed above in section 3. However, Bohlen does not explicitly state that at least two of the plurality of masks formed on the substrate have identical patterns. As it is well known in the art to provide duplicate copies of identical patterns on a mask to increase throughput, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide duplicate copies of identical patterns on the mask substrate. Bohlen would have been motivated to do this, because as the title suggests, high throughput printing is desired.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In addition to the Bohlen reference discussed above in sections 3 and 4, the IDS refereance Utsumi "Low Energy e-beam Proximity Projection Lithography" from the Nov/Dec 1999 issue of J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 17(c) discloses that complimentary masks may be used in e-beam proximity exposiure, thus reading on the current claims. (p. 2899, 1l. 2-8 for example)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James P. Hughes whose telephone number is (703) 305-5675.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (703) 308-4116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/732,928

Art Unit: 2881

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

James P. Hughes Patent Examiner Art Unit 2881

フサ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800